

Project Title

Managing risks in preterm infants in the face of Human Milk Fortifier (HMF) product recall crisis

Project Lead and Members

- Kellie Kong Qi Yuen
- Dr Han Wee Meng
- Dr Kong Juin Yee
- Dr Pooja Agarwal
- Dr Chua Mei Chien

Organisation(s) Involved

KK Women's and Children Hospital

Healthcare Family Group(s) Involved in this Project

Medical

Applicable Specialty or Discipline

Neonatology

Aim(s)

To describe our approach at KKH to mitigate a recent recall of HMF, when no other alternative HMF product was readily available in Singapore.

Background

See poster appended/ below

Methods

See poster appended/ below

Results

See poster appended/ below

Conclusion

See poster appended/ below

Additional Information

Singapore Healthcare Management (SHM) Congress 2022 – 3rd Prize (Risk Management category)

Project Category

Care & Process Redesign

Value Based Care, Risk Management

Keywords

Improvising Treatment, Infant Feed Formula, Mixing Nutrients With Expressed Breast Milk

Name and Email of Project Contact Person(s)

Name: Kellie Kong Qi Yuen

Email: singaporehealthcaremanagement@singhealth.com.sg



Singapore Healthcare Management 2022



KK Women's and Children's Hospital
SingHealth

Kellie Kong Qi Yuen, Dr Han Wee Meng,
Dr Kong Juin Yee, Dr Pooja Agarwal,
Dr Chua Mei Chien

Managing risks in preterm infants in the face of Human Milk Fortifier (HMF) product recall crisis

Introduction


- Exclusive human breastmilk feeding reduces risk of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC)
- Preterm infants are at increased risk of malnutrition
- Nutritional fortification of human milk is needed to support optimal growth and development
- A recall of HMF product due to possible bacterial contamination resulted in unanticipated disruption of fortification practices in neonatal units globally

Aim: To describe our approach at KKH to mitigate a recent recall of HMF, when no other alternative HMF product was readily available in Singapore.

3 Risk Control Measures as part of the interim strategy

- Delay fortification till larger feed volume of 100 ml/kg/day achieved instead of 80 ml/kg/day previously
- Start fortification at a lower proportion of formula use
- Maintain at least 50% feeds as human milk for protective effect against NEC even when high caloric feeds are required

Methodology



Comparing outcomes for pre and post cohort,

Pre-Cohort (n=19)
- Between November to December 2021 (prior to HMF recall)
- Infants on fortified EBM+HMF feeds

Post-Cohort (n=18)
- Between March to April 2022 (after HMF recall)
- Infants on fortified EBM23 feeds

Both cohorts were **comparable** in birth gestation (25.9 weeks to 31.5 weeks) and birth weight (767g to 1360g).


Post cohort had

- Lower proportion of male infants (50% vs. 79%)
 - Lower weight z-score at birth (-0.34 vs. +0.01)
 - Higher proportion of infants with SGA/IUGR status (28% vs. 16%)
- SGA: small for gestational age; IUGR: intra-uterine growth restriction

Feed volume at which the **post cohort** was started on fortification was **significantly delayed** at 125 ml/kg/day compared to 90 ml/kg/day for the pre cohort (p<0.001).

Both cohorts took 11 days to regain birth weight (p=0.893).

Both cohorts had similar pattern for change in weight z-score from birth at Week 1 to Week 4 of life (p=0.635).



Serum levels for alkaline phosphatase and phosphate were **similar** between both cohorts. While serum calcium levels were **significantly higher** in the post cohort at Week 2 (p=0.009) and Week 4 of life (p<0.001), results for both cohorts were all **within normal limits** suggesting no compromise on bone health.

Patients tolerated the feeds well with adequate growth observed. There were NO adverse events including electrolyte imbalance or NEC in affected patients.

Results

An interim strategy of mixing high caloric preterm formula (SSC30) to expressed breastmilk (EBM) was adopted, acknowledging the risk balance between formula introduction and malnutrition without fortification.

Standard fortification (EBM23) for all preterm infants
- Mixing EBM and SSC30 in a 2:1 ratio

High caloric feeds (EBM25) for malnourished preterm infants
- Mixing EBM and SSC30 in a 1:1 ratio

Comparing nutrient profile of unfortified EBM and EBM23 with fortified EBM+HMF (routine practice prior to HMF recall),

Reduction in nutrient profile of unfortified EBM,

To: 85% in Calories, 47% in Protein, 25% in Bone minerals, 1% in Vitamin D, 0% in Vitamin A, 24% in Iron

Improvement in nutrient profile of EBM23 using SSC30 as an interim fortifier,

To: 98% in Calories, 84% in Protein, 55% in Bone minerals, 43% in Vitamin D, 68% in Vitamin A, 171% in Iron

Conclusion

- The nutritional product recall caused significant impact on nutritional management of preterm infants.
- Relying on a single company for a highly specialized nutritional product is a major pitfall during product recall.
- A multidisciplinary team was essential in executing a risk management plan that balanced the risks of clinical morbidities while ensuring feasibility and patient safety.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank our colleagues from ALPS-KKH Pharmacy and Neonatal Nursing for their input and collaboration in this risk management plan.

References
1. McCaffrey JJ, Health CaHagg-Rickert S. American Society for Healthcare Risk Management (ASHRM). Development of a Risk Management Program. In: Carroll RL, editor. Risk Management Handbook for re Organizations. Student edition. USA: Jossey-Bass; 2009. p. 1-30.
2. Alam AY. Steps in the Process of Risk Management in Healthcare. J Epid Prev Med 2016;2(2):118.
3. Lin YC, Chen YJ, Huang CC, Shieh CC. Concentrated Preterm Formula as a Liquid Human Milk Fortifier at Initiation Stage in Extremely Low Birth Weight Preterm Infants: Short Term and 2-year Follow-up Outcomes. Nutrients. 2020;12(8):2229.